CASE STUDY ABSTRACT

Wenatchee Tree Fruit Research and Extension Center (WTFREC) Test Plot Wenatchee, Washington

Site Name and Location: Wenatchee Tree Fruit Research and Extension Center (WTFREC) Test Plot Wenatchee, Washington	Sampling & Analytical Technologies: 1. Systematic planning process 2. Dynamic workplan 3. Direct push soil sampling	CERCLIS #: None
Period of Operation: 1966-early 1980s Operable Unit: A 2,100-square foot test plot area	4. Field measurement immunoassay analysis (IA) technologies combined with limited fixed laboratory analyses	Current Site Activities: Washington State University test and laboratory facilities; local residential development.
used for pesticide disposal testing	Madia and Cantaninanta.	Tashualam. Damanatantan
Point of Contact:	Media and Contaminants:	Technology Demonstrator:
Greg Gervais Quality Assurance Representative U.S. Army Corps of Engineers- Seattle District 4735 East Marginal Way South Seattle, WA 98134	Soil contaminated with organochlorine pesticides, organophosphorus pesticides, carbamate pesticides, and paraquat	Garry Struthers Associates, Inc. 3150 Richards Road, Suite 100 Bellevue, WA 98005-4446 (425) 519-0300

Number of Samples Analyzed during Investigation:

A total of 271 samples were analyzed for the focused removal, characterization, final confirmation, waste profile, and wastewater analysis phases of this project. Roughly two-thirds of analyses were performed in the field by IA kits. Field and laboratory QC samples were also analyzed during this project.

Cost Savings:

The site characterization and cleanup approach used in this project resulted in savings of about 50% (over \$500,000) over traditional site characterization and remediation methods, which rely on fixed-base laboratory analysis with multiple rounds of mobilization/demobilization to accomplish site cleanup.

Results:

Project was completed successfully and cost-effectively. The WTFREC test plot area was remediated, and shown to a high degree of certainty that regulatory cleanup standards were achieved. The regulator, the client, and local stakeholders were very satisfied with the project's outcome.

Description:

This case study describes an approach to site cleanup that includes the use of systematic planning, on-site measurement technologies combined with limited fixed laboratory analyses, and rapid decision-making (using a dynamic work plan) to facilitate quick cleanup. Site characterization information, obtained in the field through the use of IA kits, was used to guide removal activities by means of an adaptive sampling strategy. This approach permitted a cost-effective cleanup of the contaminated site.

Case Study: Cleanup of the Wenatchee Tree Fruit Test Plot Site Using a Dynamic Work Plan

Technology Name EnviroGa	ard [®] DDT Immunoassay	/ Tes	t Kit	
Summary of Case Study's P	erformance Information	n		
Project Role:	Analytical Information Provided:			
Supporting in-field decisions regarding further characterization, removal, waste segregation, and disposal of soils contaminated with DDT and other pesticides.	Semiquantitative concentration data for DDT and other organochlorine pesticides in soil with sensitivity down to 0.2 mg/kg (ppm). The results are reported as the concentration of DDT, but represent the sum of the responses from the 2,4'- and 4,4'-isomers of DDT, DDD, and DDE. During the case study, the test kit results were compared to fixed laboratory analyses for individual pesticide compounds and site-specific action levels were developed for the various decisions to be made (e.g., characterization, removal, waste segregation, and disposal) using the test kit results.			
Total Contract Cost: \$13,03 project samples, PE samples,			Total Cost Per Sar QC costs)	nple: approx. \$57 per sample (includes
	Project	Cost	Breakdown	
Spectrometer Cost: \$2000 for purchase, or rentals available at \$175/day to \$800/month	\$515 for a 20-test kit	appr	or Cost: ox. \$20 per sample udes QC costs)	Waste Disposal Cost: Methanol extract waste: \$470 per lab pack (bulk) disposal
Site-Specific Accuracy/Prec	ision Achieved:			Throughput Achieved:
The test kit is intentionally bias reduce the occurrence of false test kits and fixed laboratory d soil samples from the site, the result of 5 mg/kg (ppm) could individual compound (e.g., DD important aspect of this project and revised as needed during the deeper soils from the area pesticides were buried, the ac mg/kg.	e negative results. Based ata for the individual orga project team determined indicate that the site-spe DT, DDE, or DDD) had be at was that this initial dete the latter phases of the p of the site where bags of	d on a anoch d that cific c en ex ermina projec of con	a pilot study of the hlorine pesticides in a DDT test kit cleanup level for an xceeded. An ation was reviewed ct. For example, in icentrated	A batch of 12 field samples could be extracted and analyzed in a half day by one person.
Each 12-sample batch contair purchased performance evalu duplicate (intra-laboratory sam	ation (PE) samples as ar			
The precision achieved by the duplicate samples with each c percent difference of the dupli batches, with a mean RPD va	of 16 batches of field sam cates ranged from 0% to	ples. 1139	The relative % for these 16	
Split sample analysis (by fixed critical decision points (excava data).				

General Commercial Inform	ation (Informati	on valid as of A	ugust 200	0)	
Vendor Contact:		Vendor Inform	ation:	Limitations on Performance:	
Not available		Strategic Diagn 111 Pencader I Newark, DE 19 1-800-544-8881 www.sdix.com	Drive 1702	This test kit is not specific for just DDT. It also responds to the DDT daughter products DDE and DDD, as well as some other organochlorine pesticides.	
Principle of Analytical Operation	ation:	Availability/Ra	tes:		
linked immunosorbent assay (reaction between DDT and re	een DDT and related available for purchase or rental from manu		, including hand- held spectrometer, is		
compounds extracted from the sample with methanol and an antibody coated on a test		Power Requirements:			
	e antibodies bound to the target analytes not bind to an enzyme conjugate added		110 or 220 volt power is needed to charge the hand-held spectrometer, which may then be used in the field without additional power.		
to the tube. When a color-dev			Instrument Weight and/or Footprint:		
forms a colored product. The read with a spectrometer and to the amount of conjugate read	a colored product. The color density is		Approximately 5 square feet of space is required for sample processing and analysis.		
is present. The DDT results a by comparison to 3-point calib					
General Performance Inform	nation	L			
Known or Potential Interferende degrees. The manufacturer p				react with the antibodies to varying	
Applicable Media/Matrices: Soil and Water	Analytes Meas Expected Deter		Other Ger Informatio	neral Accuracy/Precision on:	
			See SW-8	46 Method 4042	
Wastes Generated Requiring Special	DDT 0.2 mg/kg		Rate of Th	nroughput:	
Disposal:	DDD 0.05 mg/	kg		amples can be assayed at one time, s available in 30 minutes.	
Small volumes of methanol used for sample extraction, plus the used sample volume.	DDE 0.6 mg/kg		With result		

TECHNOLOGY QUICK REFERENCE SHEET RaPID Assay[®] Cyclodienes Immunoassay Test Kit

Case Study: Cleanup of the Wenatchee Tree Fruit Test Plot Site Using a Dynamic Work Plan

Technology Name RaPID As	ssay [®] Cyclodienes Imn	nunoas	say Test Kit	
Summary of Case Study's F	Performance Informat	ion		
Project Role:	Analytical Information Provided:			
Supporting in-field decisions regarding further characterization, removal, waste segregation, and disposal of soils contaminated with cyclodiene pesticides.	Semiquantitative concentration data for cyclodiene pesticides in soil with sensitivity down to 0.15 mg/kg (ppm). Greater sensitivity was achieved in this project through method modifications. The results are reported as the concentration of dieldrin, but other cyclodiene pesticides can be used to calibrate the assay as well. During the case study, the test kit results were compared to fixed laboratory analyses for individual pesticide compounds and site-specific action levels were developed for the various decisions to be made (e.g., characterization, removal, waste segregation, and disposal) using the test kit results.			
Total Contract Cost: \$13,03 project samples, PE samples			Total Cost P (includes QC	er Sample: approx. \$57 per sample costs)
	Project	Cost E	Breakdown	
	Consumables Cost: \$540 for a 20-test kit	Labor Cost: approx. \$20 per sample (includes QC costs)		Waste Disposal Cost: Methanol extract waste: \$470 per lab pack (bulk) disposal
Site-Specific Accuracy/Pred	pecific Accuracy/Precision Achieved:		Throughput Achieved:	
The test kit is intentionally biased 100% high by the manufacturer in order to reduce the occurrence of false negative results. Based on a pilot study of the test kits and fixed laboratory data for the individual organochlorine pesticides in soil samples from the site, the project team determined that a cyclodienes test kit result of 0.086 mg/kg (ppm) could indicate that the site-specific cleanup level for an individual compound (e.g.,dieldrin or endrin) had been exceeded. An important aspect of this project was that this initial determination was reviewed and revised as needed during the latter phases of the project.		A batch of 12 field samples could be extracted and analyzed in a half day by one person.		
ach 12-sample batch contained a reagent blank, 3-point calibration & CV, purchased performance evaluation (PE) samples as an LCS, a atrix duplicate (intra-laboratory sample split).				
pair of duplicate samples with relative percent difference of	he precision achieved by the test kit was assessed by the analysis of a air of duplicate samples with each of 14 batches of field samples. The elative percent difference of the duplicates ranged from 0% to 110% for ese 14 batches, with a mean RPD value of 35% and a median RPD of %.			
Split sample analysis (by fixe representing critical decision regulatory clean closure data	points (excavation bou			

General Commercial Inform	ation (Info	mation valid as o	of Augus	: 2000)	
Vendor Contact:		Vendor Information: Limitations on Performance:			
Not available		Strategic Diagnos 111 Pencader Dri Newark, DE 1970 1-800-544-8881 www.sdix.com	ve	This test kit is not specific for just a single cyclodiene pesticide. It responds to: dieldrin, aldrin, endrin, heptachlor, heptachlor epoxide, chlordane, endosulfan (I and II), α -BHC, γ -BHC (lindane), δ -BHC, and several other organochlorine pesticides.	
Principle of Analytical Operation	ation:	Availability/Rate	s:		
enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay (ELISA) reaction between cyclodiene available for p		Associated test e	ommercially available as a special order products. It equipment, including hand- held spectrometer, is urchase or rental from manufacturer.		
compounds extracted from the with methanol and an antibod	y bound to	Power Requirem	ents:		
			It power is needed to charge the hand-held spectrometer, en be used in the field without additional power.		
using by retaining the magnet with a magnetic field and deca the extract. When a color-dev reagent is added, the enzyme forms a colored product. The density is read with a spectror is proportional to the amount of conjugate reagent present. D means less of the target analy present. The cyclodiene resu determined by comparison to calibration.	anting off veloping color meter and of varker color vte is lts are	Approximately 5 s and analysis.	square fee	et of space is required for sample processing	
General Performance Inforn	nation				
Known or Potential Interfered degrees. The manufacturer p				s can react with the antibodies to varying st kit.	
Applicable Media/Matrices:	-		Other General Accuracy/Precision Information:		
Soil and Water	Limits:	ected Detection ts:		846 Method 4041	
Wastes Generated Requiring Special Disposal:	From manufacturer: Cyclodienes, as dieldrin: 0.15 mg/kg in soil and 0.6 µg/kg in water		Rate of Throughput: Up to 50 samples can be assayed at one time, with results available in 60 minutes.		
Small volumes of methanol used for sample extraction, plus the used sample volume.	As employed for the case study: 18 µg/kg (ppb) in soil.				